

Docket No.: 056937-0024



IFW

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of	:	Customer Number: 20277
Keishi SUGIMOTO, et al.	:	Confirmation Number: 8013
Application No.: 09/781,239	:	Group Art Unit: 2616
Filed: February 13, 2001	:	Examiner: Steven BLOUNT
For: DIGITAL BROADCAST RECEIVING APPARATUS	:	

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This Statement submitted in response to the Examiner's Interview Summary mailed on November 7, 2006, relates to a telephonic interview of October 27, 2006 between Examiner Steven Blount and Applicants' representatives Tom Tanita and Qian Huang.

The Applicant respectively point out that, in the Examiner's Interview Summary, the sentence "Ms. Huang pointed out how she felt Huang is distinguishable from the claimed invention." is not correct. The representatives of the Applicants distinguished the claimed invention from the cited prior art references Robinette et al. and Hiroaki (not Huang).

During the interview, the representatives of the Applicants and the Examiner discussed the differences between the claimed invention and the cited prior art references. As agreed by the Examiner during the interview, the "overwriting" as described in Robinette et al. and cited by the Examiner does not write packets from one transport stream to some packet locations in a

Application No.: 09/781,239

different transport stream (overwriting across transport streams). During the interview, the Examiner suggested that the Applicants amend independent claims by providing non-abbreviated phrases for "PID" (packet identifier) and "TS" (transport stream). The Applicants have filed a Response to the last Office Action on November 8, 2006, in which the Applicants amended all independent claims according to the Examiner's suggestion.

In addition, during the interview, the Examiner pointed out that claim 17 contains language that 112 second paragraph may implicate. In the Response filed on November 8, 2006, the Applicants amended claim 17 according to the Examiner's suggestion.

The Applicants would like to acknowledge, with appreciation, Examiner Blount's courtesy and professionalism in conducting the telephone interview.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 500417 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP


Michael E. Fogarty
Registration No. 36,139

**Please recognize our Customer No. 20277
as our correspondence address.**

600 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-3096
Phone: 202.756.8000 MEF:QH/lhg
Facsimile: 202.756.8087
Date: December 4, 2006